



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	D INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/716,105	11/17/2000	Nandu Gopalakrishnan	5-3-5-6-14-9	6700	
7590 07/21/2004			EXAMINER		
Docket Administrator (Room 3C-512)			NGUYEN, BRIAN D		
Lucent Technologies Inc. 600 Mountain Avenue P. O. Box 636 Murray Hill, NJ 07974-0636		en e	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		• •	2661		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	Application No. Applicant(s)					
•		09/716,105		GOPALAKRISHNAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit ·				
	·	Brian D Nguy	en	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 June 2004.						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requ	iirement.		e			
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 June 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summers	PTO 413\				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/s r No(s)/Mail Date	,	Notice of Informal Pa Other:	tent Application (PT	D-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/716,105

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 4 seems to be dependent on claim 3 as claim 2 depending on claim 1. If this is true, it is suggested to change "The method of claim 1" to --- The method of claim 3---.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said power factor information" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song (6,721,299) in view of Cerwall et al (6,032,0467).

Application/Control Number: 09/716,105

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 1, Song discloses a method comprising transmitting at a rate on a downlink shared channel having slots with each slot including a preamble locally identifying a wireless device unit and data; transmitting on a dedicated control channel associated with a dedicated downlink channel including power control bits and pilot bits (see figures 4 & 8; col. 3, line 55-col. 4, line 8; col. 9, lines 50-65; col. 5, lines 35-50). Song does not explicitly disclose transmitting on a beacon channel a power fraction information, downlink activity information and code space information. However, power fraction information, downlink activity information and code space information are well known in the art. Cerwall discloses that a beacon channel (broadcast control channel) can broadcast general system information about the cell to all mobiles stations located within its location area (see col. 4, lines 7-21). One skilled in the art will recognize that the beacon channel may include any desired information depending on a particular application. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit any general system information (such as power fraction information, downlink activity information and code space information) as taught by Cerwall in the system of Song in order to meet specific needs.

Regarding claim 3, claim 3 is a method claim comprising the reversing steps of claim 1.

Therefore, it is subject to the same rejection.

6. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song (6,721,299) in view of Cerwall et al (6,032,047) as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Raith (6,760,311).

Regarding claim 2, Song in view of Cerwall does not specifically disclose receiving on an uplink dedicated control channel an acknowledgement information indicating the receipt of Application/Control Number: 09/716,105

Art Unit: 2661

data. However, this feature is well known in the art. Raith discloses the uplink dedicated control channel including an acknowledgement information (see col. 6, line 65-col. 7, line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an acknowledgement information as taught by Raith in the system of Song in view of Cerwall in order to notify the transmitting device the status of the transmitted data.

Regarding claim 4, claim 4 is a method claim comprising the reversing steps of claim 2. Therefore, it is subject to the same rejection.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-5133. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7/14/0

BRIAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER